G.H.RAISONI INSTITUTE OF ENGINEERING AND MANAGEMENT, **JALGAON**

Department of Electronics & Telecommunication Engineering

Structured feedback received from 1) Students, 2) Teachers, 3) Employers, 4) Alumni 5) Parents for design and review of syllabus - Semester wise/year wise Options:

A. Any 4 of the above

B. Any 3 of the above

C. Any 2 of the above D. Any 1 of the above

Institute has adopted option A.

Stakeholders Feedback, Analysis and Summary Report on Curriculum Academic Year: 2017-18 Semester- IInd

The feedback on the curriculum was collected from the stakeholders including students, Teachers, Alumni, Parents and Employers. The feedback was collected about the current syllabus and curriculum.

Objectives of the Feedback:

- 1. To provide necessary upgrading in content of courses and to reduce the outdated portion from the curriculum.
- 2. To provide appropriate changes for upgrading the course contents based on their expertise and teaching enrichment while carrying out teaching learning process.
- 3. To understand the requirements of industry and cater the needs by creating competencies through academic learning.
- 4. To understand the real challenges faced by the alumni while working in the industry and practical exposure through course learning.
- 5. To update the standards of curriculum and reduce the gap between Industry and Institute so that students can get better career opportunities

Process of Feedback and action taken



Feedback collected

Analysed


Action Taken

Displayed on Institute Website

PRINCIPAL

Feedback Process of Institution

Phases of Feedback



Process of Feedback Analysis of Stakeholders

Feedback Collected from the stakeholders:

- 1. Students: Collection of feedback from the students is carried out every semester.
- 2. Teachers: Feedback on curriculum is carried out every semester for extending better suggestions before actual revision of course contents.
- 3. Employers: Feedback on curriculum for the revision of syllabus is carried every year before actual revision of course contents.
- 4. Alumni: Alumni feedback collected after every alumni meet and whenever alumni interact with institute about course contents and practical applications.
- 5. Parents: Parent feedback collected after every parents meet about curriculum and their satisfaction.

ALGADA A

PRINCIPAL
G.H. Stalsoni Institute of
Engineering & Management
JALGAON


L FEEDBACK REPORT ON CURRICULUM FROM STUDENTS

Summary report of Student's feedback shows their suggestions on curriculum to improve the quality and standards of program at various stages. It consists of random twenty-five students including their appropriate suggestions and based on various parameters floated to get their opinions. Class wise details are given below.

Class: Second Year

Second Year Students Feedback Analysis A.Y.-2017-18 (Sem-II)

Sr. No.	Parameters	Average Out of 4	Percentage Out of 100%
1	Course objective was clearly introduced	3.5	88
2	Pre-requisites are acquired for this course	3.5	88
3	Depth of course content was adequate	3.5	87
4	Course contents are followed by reference material	3.5	88
5	Adequacy of Laboratory course content	3.4	86
6	Laboratory experiments enhanced practical exposure of the course	3.5	88
7	Course content stimulate to acquire further skills and knowledge in the domain	3.6	89
8	Course content enabled to formulate, analyze and solve problems	3.6	89



Suggestion from Students (Second Year):


Syllabus should be as per the requirements of industry. Guest Lectures on new technology must be taken

PRINCIPAL
G.H. Ralsoni Institute of
Engineering & Management
JAL GADN

Class: Third Year

Third Year Students Feedback Analysis A.Y.-2017-18 (Sem-II)

Sr. No.	Parameters	Average Out of 4	Percentage Out of 100%
1	Course objective was clearly introduced	3,76	93.89
2	Pre-requisites are acquired for this course	3.42	85.56
3	Depth of course content was adequate	3.49	87.22
4	Course contents are followed by reference material	3.53	88.33
5	Adequacy of Laboratory course content	3.56	88.89
6	Laboratory experiments enhanced practical exposure of the course		86.67
7	Course content stimulate to acquire further skills and knowledge in the domain		86.67
8	Course content enabled to formulate, analyze and solve problems	3.58	89.44



Suggestion from Students (Third Year):

- I Software workshop must hand on practices
- 2 More software courses including the syllabus.

ALONON B

PRINCIPAL


G.H. Palsoni institute of
Engineering & Windsemon

Engineering A Windsemon

Class: Final Year

Final Year Students Feedback Analysis A.Y.-2017-18 (Sem-II)

Sr. No.	Parameters	Average Out of 4	Percentage Out of 100%
1	Course objective was clearly introduced	3.24	80.94
2	Pre-requisites are acquired for this course	3.20	80.00
3	Depth of course content was adequate	3.15	78.75
4	Course contents are followed by reference material	3.26	81.56
5	Adequacy of Laboratory course content	3.13	78.13
6	Laboratory experiments enhanced practical exposure of the course		78.13
7	Course content stimulate to acquire further skills and knowledge in the domain	3.21	80.31
8	Course content enabled to formulate, analyze and solve problems	3.18	79.38



Suggestion from Students (Final Year):

- Industrial visit must increase
- 2 workshop on Electrical base must be conducted

LALGACH SE


PRINCIPAL
G.H. Raisoni institute of Engineering & Winsgement

II. FEEDBACK REPORT ON CURRICULUM FROM TEACHERS

Summary report of Teachers feedback shows their suggestions on curriculum to improve the quality and standards of program at various stages. It consists of all teachers including their appropriate suggestions and based on various parameters floated to get their opinions. Teacher's wise details are given below.

Teachers Analysis A.Y.-2017-18 SE-(Sem-II)

Sr. No.	Parameters	Average Out of 4	Percentage Out of 100%
1	Suitability of course content to the program Course objective was clearly introduced	3.14	78.50
2	Course content has relevant aims and objectives	3.33	83.25
3	Sufficiency of allotted credits to the course	3.42	85.50
4	Course content satisfies the course outcomes	3.29	82.25
5	Contents of the course are properly sequenced	3.19	79.75
6	Coverage of Course content is possible in specified hours		83.50
7	Designed laboratory content enhanced for understanding of this course	3.46	86.50
8	Content is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry requirements and academics	3.22	80.50
9	Course content enabled for formulation, analysis, design and problem solving skills	3.22	80.50
10	Curriculum has potential for Employability	3.22	80.50



Suggestion from Teachers:

1. Provide the 60-40 pattern in the curriculum.


2. Students participate in the research or industry related project.

R.

PRINCIPAL
GH. Raisoni institute of
Engineering

Teachers Analysis A.Y.-2017-18 TE-(Sem-II)

Sr. No.	Parameters	Average Out of 4	Percentage Out of 100%
1	Suitability of course content to the program Course objective was clearly introduced	3.14	78.50
2	Course content has relevant aims and objectives	3.33	83.25
3	Sufficiency of allotted credits to the course	3.42	85.50
4	Course content satisfies the course outcomes	3.29	82.25
5	Contents of the course are properly sequenced	3.19	79.75
6	Coverage of Course content is possible in specified hours	3.34	83.50
7	Designed laboratory content enhanced for understanding of this course	3.46	86.50
8	Content is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry requirements and academics	3.22	80.50
9	Course content enabled for formulation, analysis, design and problem solving skills	3.22	80.50
10	Curriculum has potential for Employability	3.22	80.50



Suggestion from Teachers:


- I Increased hands-on hours on Projects
- 2 video lectures must increase on particular subjects



GH. Raison Institute of Engineering & Management JALGAON

Teachers Analysis A.Y.-2017-18 BE-(Sem-II)

Sr. No.	rarameters	Average Out of 4	Percentage Out of 100%
1	Suitability of course content to the program Course objective was clearly introduced	3.14	78.50
2	Course content has relevant aims and objectives	3.33	83.25
3	Sufficiency of allotted credits to the course	3.42	85.50
4	Course content satisfies the course outcomes	3.29	82.25
5	Contents of the course are properly sequenced	3.19	79.75
6	Coverage of Course content is possible in specified hours	3.34	83.50
7	Designed laboratory content enhanced for understanding of this course		86.50
8	Content is sufficient to bridge the gap between industry requirements and academics	3.22	80.50
9	Course content enabled for formulation, analysis, design and problem solving skills	3.22	80.50
10	Curriculum has potential for Employability	3.22	80.50



Suggestion from Teachers:

Increase experts lecture.

2 Practical based learning to be adopted.




PRINCIPAL
G.M. Ralsoni Institute of
Engineering & Management
JALGAON

III. FEEDBACK REPORT ON CURRICULUM FROM EMPLOYERS

Summary report of Employer's feedback shows their suggestions on curriculum to improve the quality and standards of program at various stages. It consists of random twenty-five Employer's including their appropriate suggestions and based on various parameters floated to get their opinions. Employer's wise details are given below.

Analysis of Employer's Feedback A.Y.2017-18

Sr. No.	Parameters	Average Out of 4	Percentage Out of 100%
1	Adequacy of Curriculum content for employment	3,4	85
2	Elective courses offered meet the needs of industry	3.5	87.5
3	Adequacy of practical skills through laboratory courses	3	75
4	Depth of Domain knowledge	2.8	70
5	Course content enabled for analysis, design and problem solving skills	2.9	72.5
6	Relevance of Projects/ Seminar/ Internship/ EDP courses	3.1	77.5
7	Level of soft skills acquired	3	75
8	Interpersonal relations and Team work ability	2.5	62.5





Suggestion from Employers:

- I Including the six month Industrial training in last year syllabus
- 2 MatLab ,Embedded ,Courses must conduct


PRINCIPAL
G.H. Raisoni institute of
Engineerical

IV. FEEDBACK REPORT ON CURRICULUM FROM ALUMNI

Summary report of Alumni feedback shows their suggestions on curriculum to improve the quality and standards of program at various stages. It consists of random twenty-five Alumni including their appropriate suggestions and based on various parameters floated to get their opinions. Alumni wise details are given below.

Alumni Feedback A.Y.2017-18

Sr. No.	Parameters	Average Out of 4	Percentage Out of 100%
1	Suitability of offered courses in the program	3.1	62
2	Appropriateness of Elective courses offered	3.9	78
3	Relevance of laboratory course contents	3.2	64
4	Benefit of Projects/ Seminar/ Internship/ EDP courses	3.5	70
5	Guidance on Personality development/ Debate /Aptitude courses	3.3	66
6	Quality of academic support facilities/amenities	3.3	66
7	Assessment and evaluation methods for the curriculum	3.2	64
8	Curriculum is relevant to technology used in industry	3.8	76
9	Curriculum has potential for Entrepreneurship/ Start-ups	3.4	68
10	Curriculum encompasses ethical practises and socially relevant issues	3.3	66



uggestion from Alumni:

- 1. Including the six month Industrial training in last year syllabus.
- Provide more Machine Design courses in the syllabus


PRINCIPAL
G.H. Raisoni kratitute of

V. FEEDBACK REPORT ON CURRICULUM FROM PARENTS

Summary report of Parents feedback shows their suggestions on curriculum to improve the quality and standards of program at various stages. It consists of random twenty-five Parents including their appropriate suggestions and based on various parameters floated to get their opinions. Parent's wise details are given below.

Analysis of Parents Feedback A.Y.2017-18 Sem-II

Sr. No.		_	
	Do you think course contents are relevant to the opted	Yes 100%	No 100%
-1	programm	32	12
2	Do you think content of any course under curriculum is too difficult?		24
3	Do you feel appropriate facilities available for course coverage?	32	12
4	Are you satisfied with the quality of teaching offered by the college?		20
5	Whether the curriculum is useful for student in terms of personality development?		12
6	Can you make direct communication with teaching staff?		12
7	Are you satisfied about other campus facilities/amenities?	24	20
	Do you feel that your ward is secured in the campus?	36	8
9	Are you satisfied with college discipline?	24	20
	Are you satisfied with the institute after admission of your ward?	32	12





Suggestion from Parents:

Syllabus is based on Industry need.

2. Industrial training must for every student for good currier.

PRINCIPAL
G.H. Raisoni Institute of
Engineering & Management

Summary of Suggestion

Academic Year: 2017-18 Semester- IInd

Feedback was requested from following Stakeholders

Sr. No.	Stakeholders	Count
1	Students	30
2	Teachers	10
3	Employers	10
4	Alumni	10
5	Parents	10

Summary Report

Sr. No.	Stakeholder	Feedback Suggestions
1	Students	Alumni meet must conduct
	Students	Arduino knowledge must be given.
2	2 Teachers	Informed regarding the attendance and class test results of students.
		Industrial base courses must conduct
3	Employers	Consider the updating of industry in syllabus.
	Lampioyers	Practical base studies must conducts
4	Alumni	Including the six month Industrial training in last year syllabus.
	ramma	Provide certified courses in the syllabus.
5	Parents	Help for placements
	ratents	Pay attention to increase merits of the students.

Prof.H.K.Bhangale

HOD

CC To- Principal

IQAC

PRINCIPAL
G.H Raisoni institute of
Engineering & Management
JALGAON

